

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/706,567	SACKRISON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
David J. Venci	1641	

All Participants:

Status of Application: *pending*

(1) David J. Venci (USPTO).
(2) Patrick O'Connell (Popovich et al.).

Date of Interview: May 12, 2006

Time: 15:30

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner wished to confirm whether Applicants filed a response to the outstanding Office Action. Applicants' representative confirmed that the instant application is abandoned.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Darla
(Examiner/CRF Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)